

		м·
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
A1 /	09/764,264	HIRABAYASHI, TAKESHI
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit
	Vincent E Kovalick	2673
The MAILING DATE of this communication apper All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this ap or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>applicant's remarks definition</u>	aed 8/31/04.	
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-22</u> .		
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>19 January 2001</u> are accepted by the	e Examiner.	
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) All b) Some* c) None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have Certified copies of the priority documents have Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Certified copies not received: 	been received. been received in Application No	
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		complying with the requirements
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give		
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus	t be submitted.	·
(a) I including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948) attached
1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or in the C	Office action of
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the		
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT I		
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Patent Application (PTO-152)
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. Interview Summary	(PTO-413),
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	8), 7. Examiner's Amendr	Paper No./Mail Date 7. Examiner's Amendment/Comment
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit		ent of Reasons for Allowance
of Biological Material	9. Other	

Application/Control Number: 09/764,264

Art Unit: 2673

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to Applicant's Remarks, dated August 31, 2004, to USPTO Office Action dated September 23, 2002.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. Claims 1-22 are allowed.
- 3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Relative to claims 1, 6, 12 and 17, the major difference between the teachings of the prior art of record (USP 5,577,733, Downing; USP 4,936,683, Purcell and USP 4,205,304, Moore) and that of the instant invention, is that said prior art of record **does not teach** an apparatus for inputting coordinates comprising a reflecting section which reflects the light emitted from the light source section; and a light receiving section which receives the light reflected by th reflecting section, wherein the light source section and the light receiving section are integrated to form a single optical unit, and this optical unit is embedded in the coordinate input plate.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 09/764,264

Art Unit: 2673

Response to Applicant's Remarks

4. Based on the receipt of Applicant's <u>Assignment of Application</u>, substantiating the Richo Elemex Corporation as the assignee of the application under consideration, it is agreed that the Takekawa et al. reference (USP 6,335,724) used as the primary reference in the rejection of claims 1, 6, 12 and 17 of the said USPTO Office Action is not valid. In view thereof, the said application has been reconsidered as set forth hereinabove.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U. S. Patent No.	6,449,041	Jung et al.
U. S. Patent No.	6,362,468	Murakami et al.
U. S. Patent No.	6,100,538	Ogawa
U. S. Patent No.	5,988,645	Downing
U. S. Patent No.	5,196,835	Blue et al.
U. S. Patent No.	4,855,590	Bures et al.

Art Unit: 2673

Responses

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vincent E Kovalick whose telephone number is (571) 272-7669. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30- 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached at (571) 272-7681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vincent E. Kovalick

March 3, 2005

BIPIN SHALWALA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600